Uncertain Supply Chain Management 4 (2016) 17-22

Contents lists available at GrowingScience

Uncertain Supply Chain Management

homepage: www.GrowingScience.com/uscm

Factors influencing business activities for export development

Maryam Baheri^{*}, Valiollah Berangi Taleghani and Parviz Nasiri

Department of Management and Accounting, South Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Export is the backbone of business development in any industry and it plays essential role on the success of organizations. The objective of this study is to determine factors influencing on food industry for development of export activities. The study designs a questionnaire in Likert scale and distributes it among 247 randomly selected experts who were active in production of foods in city of Tehran, Iran. Using principle component analysis based on varimax rotation, the study detects six factors including social opportunities, international opportunities, customer orientation, business opportunities, new technologies, organizational capabilities,
commercialization of ideas on the development of export markets.

1. Introduction

Export is the backbone of business development in any industry and it plays essential role on the success of organizations (Dichtl, 1984). Small companies are believed to contribute 25 to 35% of world exports of manufacturers, and about one fifth of manufacturing small companies receive 10-40% of their turnover from cross-border activities (Wolff & Pett, 2000; Andersson et al. 2004). In addition, small companies appear to get into the international market at a much earlier age than before, and they are also more actively following strategies that involve international activities (Knight & Cavusgil, 1996; McDougall & Oviatt, 2000). There are several studies associated with the adaptation of business activates towards boosting export activities.

Mohammadi et al. (2014) determined different factors influencing on development of packaging to have better influence on domestic as well as international market including communications, infrastructure, awareness, design and technical extraction. Nosouzi et al. (2013) performed a study to find out factors influencing on exporting medicinal plants and determined eight factors including environmental issues, export supportive issues, potentials for export, business plan, export plan, structural barriers, competition capability and strategy. Hosseini et al. (2014) performed a study to learn more about the effects of firm and management team's characteristics to develop food industry. They

© 2016 Growing Science Ltd. All rights reserved. doi: 10.5267/j.uscm.2015.8.003

^{*} Corresponding author E-mail address: <u>baherimaryam@gmail.com</u> (M. Baheri)

reported that "management knowledge about export market was number one important factor followed by the level of technology used, competitive advantage, believe in being profitable". Nikseresht (2013) discussed how empowering small and mid-cap firms could develop national exports.

Shekari et al. (2011) determined and modeled the drivers of Green supply chain management (GSCM) to put environmental activities into practice. Their results demonstrated that a six-factor measurement model (including internal environmental management, green purchasing, cleaner production, recovery, eco-design and pollution) could fit the data acceptably. Nikfarjam and Zarifi (2015) determined entrepreneurial marketing factors affecting on small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which were active in Iranian food industry. The study has determined five factors including innovative approach, flexible marketing, customer, product strategy and resources, which were influencing the most on entrepreneurial marketing factors.

Cadogan et al. (2002) extended some previous studies associated with market orientation into the international arena by developing and examining hypotheses associated with the antecedents to and consequences of market-oriented activities in companies' export operations. Export experience, export dependence and coordinating capabilities were detected in this study to be positively associated with export market-oriented activities. Moreover, export market-oriented activities were positively related to aspects of export performance.

Leonidou et al. (2002) aimed to synthesize extant knowledge based on a meta-analysis of empirical studies on the export marketing strategy–performance relationship. The study disclosed that although several marketing strategy variables could yield positive effects on overall export performance, the relationship was not always meaningful. In addition, the export performance measures investigated in different studies, stronger effects were observed in association with export proportion of sales. Finally, time of study, geographic focus, and product type had a limited effect on the impact of marketing strategy elements on export performance. According to Haar and Ortiz-Buonafina (1995), the policy adopted by many counties emphasized an increase in the volume of exports with the objective of diversifying export markets and products.

Haar and Ortiz-Buonafina (1995) addressed the process by which Brazilian companies internationalized their manufactured goods. They collected the necessary data from a large sample of companies belonging to the Association of Brazilian Exporters (AEB). They also used factor analysis for the purpose of summarizing the information with regard to export marketing dimensions. Discriminant analysis was implemented to determine the marketing dimensions pertinent to each group at various levels of the internationalization process in export activities. They reported that export development in Brazilian firms was directed by currency issues and marketing capabilities. Moreover, the study disclosed some important insights into the success of export activities.

2. The proposed study

The objective of this study is to determine factors influencing on food industry for development of export activities. The study designs a questionnaire in Likert scale and distributes it among 247 randomly selected employees who were active in production of foods in city of Tehran, Iran. Cronbach's alpha based on standardized items has been calculated as 0.809. In addition, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy yields a Chi-Square value of 2239.59(Sig. = 0.000), which confirm the overall questionnaire of this survey. Table 1 and Table 2 demonstrate the summary of some basic statistics on the survey and total variance, respectively. In addition, Fig. 1 shows the results of Scree plot. Moreover, Table 3 shows the summary of principle component analysis with varimax rotation.

Table 1The summary of some basic statistics

					Skew	rness	Kurtosis	
		N Statistic	Minimum Statistic	Maximum Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	Std. Error
1	The growing demand for customized products	247	1	5	-0.423	0.155	-0.497	0.309
2	International opportunity recognition	247	1	5	-0.366	0.155	-0.416	0.309
3	Regional markets	247	1	5	-0.296	0.155	-0.626	0.309
4	Product Development Performance	247	1	5	0.02	0.155	-0.422	0.309
5	Human Capital	247	1	5	-0.642	0.155	0.079	0.309
6	Internet sales	247	1	5	-0.855	0.155	0.535	0.309
7	Individual factors	247	1	5	-0.781	0.155	0.379	0.309
8	Industry growth rate	247	1	5	-0.393	0.155	-0.264	0.309
9	Participation in International Exhibitions	247	1	5	-0.474	0.155	-0.432	0.309
10	Social source	247	1	5	-0.498	0.155	-0.222	0.309
11	Opportunity Recognition in Social activities	247	1	5	-0.448	0.155	-0.561	0.309
12	Growth in market share	247	1	5	-0.152	0.155	-0.701	0.309
13	The support of new ideas	247	1	5	-0.38	0.155	-0.557	0.309
14	Customer-oriented approach in innovation	247	1	5	-0.419	0.155	-0.519	0.309
15	Industry interaction with research teams	247	1	5	-0.48	0.155	0.931	0.309
16	Ecommerce	247	1	5	-0.363	0.155	-0.255	0.309
17	Business ideas	247	1	5	0.118	0.155	-0.946	0.309
18	Networking	247	1	5	-0.912	0.155	0.439	0.309
19	Globalization	247	1	5	-0.337	0.155	-0.485	0.309
20	Providing subsidies to producers	247	1	5	-0.236	0.155	0.221	0.309
21	market prediction	247	1	5	-0.811	0.155	-0.087	0.309
22	CE	247	1	5	-0.507	0.155	1.134	0.309
23	Tariffs	247	1	5	-0.352	0.155	0.418	0.309
24	Government incentives	247	1	5	0.119	0.155	-1.2	0.309
25	Organizational capabilities	247	1	5	-0.226	0.155	-0.966	0.309
26	The idea of market-oriented research	247	1	5	-0.478	0.155	0.461	0.309
27	Fashion Market	247	1	5	-0.224	0.155	-0.92	0.309
28	Technological Innovation	247	1	5	-0.685	0.155	0.47	0.309
29	Offer new product ideas	247	1	5	-0.845	0.155	0.752	0.309
30	Craft industry	247	1	5	-0.767	0.155	0.373	0.309
31	Environmental entrepreneurship	247	1	5	-0.606	0.155	0.052	0.309
32	The use of new technologies by the company	247	1	5	-0.276	0.155	-0.511	0.309
33	Speed commercialization process	247	1	5	-0.824	0.155	0.204	0.309
34	Family SMEs	247	1	5	-0.735	0.155	0.654	0.309

Table 2

The summary of total variance

		Initial Eigen valu	ies	Extrac	tion Sums of Squ	ared Loadings	Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings			
Component	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	
1	5.435	16.469	16.469	5.435	16.469	16.469	2.955	8.956	8.956	
2	2.581	7.822	24.291	2.581	7.822	24.291	2.798	8.479	17.435	
3	2.189	6.633	30.924	2.189	6.633	30.924	2.257	6.84	24.275	
4	1.796	5.442	36.366	1.796	5.442	36.366	2.072	6.279	30.554	
5	1.649	4.998	41.363	1.649	4.998	41.363	1.952	5.916	36.47	
6	1.4	4.243	45.606	1.4	4.243	45.606	1.732	5.248	41.718	
7	1.33	4.03	49.636	1.33	4.03	49.636	1.596	4.836	46.554	
8	1.278	3.871	53.508	1.278	3.871	53.508	1.593	4.827	51.381	
9	1.159	3.512	57.02	1.159	3.512	57.02	1.43	4.334	55.715	
10	1.075	3.258	60.278	1.075	3.258	60.278	1.283	3.888	59.603	
11	1.008	3.054	63.332	1.008	3.054	63.332	1.23	3.729	63.332	
12	0.935	2.832	66.164							
13	0.901	2.73	68.894							
14	0.807	2.447	71.341							
15	0.757	2.295	73.636							
16	0.714	2.164	75.799							
17	0.7	2.12	77.919							
18	0.676	2.048	79.967							
19	0.622	1.884	81.851							
20	0.595	1.803	83.654							
21	0.567	1.72	85.373							
22	0.55	1.666	87.039							
23	0.539	1.634	88.673							
24	0.514	1.559	90.231							
25	0.473	1.434	91.665							
26	0.431	1.306	92.971							
27	0.417	1.262	94.233							
28	0.375	1.137	95.37							
29	0.361	1.093	96.463							
30	0.328	0.992	97.456							
31	0.305	0.925	98.381							
32	0.281	0.851	99.232							

20
Table 3
The summary of principle component analysis after rotation

	Rotated Component Matrix ^a										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
q31	0.797										
q30	0.734										
q11	0.664										
q10 q34	0.64										
q34	0.572										
q2 q19		0.817									
q19		0.812									
q3		0.743									
q9		0.582					0.436				
q27			0.792								
q14			0.685								
q1			0.655								
q26			0.516				0.341				
q26 q20 q35				0.725							
q35				0.704							
q22				0.671							
q15 q28				0.651							
q28					0.75						
q6					0.558						
q16	0.435				0.55						
q32						0.777					
q18						0.675					
q16 q32 q18 q21 q33 q29					0.407	0.407					
q33							0.753				
q29						0.34	0.533				
q13 q5							0.411				
q5								0.721			
q8								0.704			
q4									0.797		
q24									0.549		-0.415
q12										0.791	
q17			0.37		0.386					0.543	

3. Results, discussion and conclusion

The implementation of principle component analysis based on varimax rotation, the study detects six factors including social opportunities, international opportunities, customer orientation, business opportunities, new technologies, organizational capabilities, commercialization of ideas on the development of export markets. The first factor, social opportunities, includes environmental entrepreneurship, craft industry, family SMEs, social source and opportunity recognition in social activities. The results of this section are consistent with findings of Leonidou et al. (2002) and Mohammadi et al. (2014).

The second factor, international opportunities, consists of four items including international opportunity recognition, participation in international exhibitions, regional markets and globalization. The results of this part of survey are in line with Holzmüller and Kasper, H. (1991), Karafakioglu (1986) and Nosouzi et al. (2013). The third factor, customer orientation, includes four sub-components including the growing demand for customized products, customer-oriented approach in innovation, the idea of market-oriented research and fashion Market. The findings of this part are consistent with findings of Czinkota and Johnston (1981), Filatotchev et al. (2012) and Hosseini et al. (2014).

The fourth factor, organizational capabilities, includes Industry interaction with research teams, providing subsidies to producers, organizational learning and organizational entrepreneurship. The results of this part are consistent with findings reported by Nikseresht (2013), Katsikeas (1994) and Katsikeas et al. (1996). The fifth factor, business opportunities, includes three items of adaptation of new technologies, building network and market forecasting. The results are consistent with earlier results presented by Hook Jr and Czinkota (1989), Nikseresht (2013) and Hosseini et al. (2014).

Finally, the last factor, commercialization of ideas, was the last item influencing on development of export activities in food industry, which consists of three sub-item including the support of new ideas, offering new product ideas and the use of new technologies by the company. These results are also consistent with findings reported by Haar and Ortiz-Buonafina (1995), Bennett (1997) and Alimohammadi et al. (2014).

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for constructive comments on earlier version of this paper.

References

- Alimohammadi, Y., Aghamousa, R., & Meshkani, F. (2014). Examining the role of export competitive advantages on export performance. *Management Science Letters*, 4(4), 621-626.
- Andersson, S., Gabrielsson, J., & Wictor, I. (2004). International activities in small firms: examining factors influencing the internationalization and export growth of small firms. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 21(1), 22-34.
- Bennett, R. (1997). Export marketing and the internet: Experiences of web site use and perceptions of export barriers among UK businesses. *International Marketing Review*, *14*(5), 324-344.
- Cadogan, J. W., Diamantopoulos, A., & Siguaw, J. A. (2002). Export market-oriented activities: their antecedents and performance consequences. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 33(3), 615-626.
- Czinkota, M. R., & Johnston, W. J. (1981). Segmenting US firms for export development. *Journal of Business Research*, 9(4), 353-365.
- Dichtl, E., Leibold, M., Köglmayr, H. G., & Mueller, S. (1984). The export-decision of small and medium-sized firms: A review. *Management International Review*, 24(2), 49-60.
- Filatotchev, I., Liu, X., Buck, T., & Wright, M. (2009). The export orientation and export performance of high-technology SMEs in emerging markets: The effects of knowledge transfer by returnee entrepreneurs. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(6), 1005-1021.
- Haar, J., & Ortiz-Buonafina, M. (1995). The internationalization process and marketing activities: The case of Brazilian export firms. *Journal of Business Research*, *32*(2), 175-181.
- Hook Jr, R. C., & Czinkota, M. R. (1989). Export activities and prospects of Hawaiian firms. Asia Pacific International Journal of Marketing, 1(2), 27-35.
- Hosseini, S., Hamedani, A., & Nikbakht, M. (2014). The effect of firm characteristics and the propensity to export decision in food industry.*Management Science Letters*, 4(6), 1161-1166.
- Holzmüller, H. H., & Kasper, H. (1991). On a theory of export performance: Personal and organizational determinants of export trade activities observed in small and medium-sized firms. *MIR: Management International Review*, *31*, 45-70.
- Karafakioglu, M. (1986). Export activities of Turkish manufacturers. *International Marketing Review*, 3(4), 34-43.
- Katsikeas, C. S. (1994). Perceived export problems and export involvement: the case of Greek exporting manufacturers. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 7(4), 29-58.
- Katsikeas, C. S., Piercy, N. F., & Ioannidis, C. (1996). Determinants of export performance in a European context. *European journal of Marketing*, *30*(6), 6-35.
- Knight, G.A. & Cavusgil, S.T. (1996). The born global firm: A challenge to traditional internationalization theory. *Advances in International Marketing*, *8*, 11–26.
- Leonidou, L. C., Katsikeas, C. S., & Samiee, S. (2002). Marketing strategy determinants of export performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Business research*, 55(1), 51-67.
- McDougall, P.P. & Oviatt, B.M. (2000). International entrepreneurship: The intersection of two research paths. Academy of Management Journal, 43 (5), 902–906.
- Mohammadi, M., Hnzayy, S., & Azad, N. (2014). A study on packaging factors influencing on export development. *Management Science Letters*, 4(10), 2213-2220.

22

- Nikfarjam, A., & Zarifi, S. (2015). Exploring the effects of entrepreneurial marketing factors on SMEs. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, *3*(4), 333-338.
- Nikseresht, F. (2013). How empowering small and mid-cap firms develops national exports. *Management Science Letters*, *3*(10), 2661-2664.
- Nosouzi, H., Azad, N., & Naami, A. (2013). An empirical investigation on factors influencing on exporting medicinal plants. *Management Science Letters*, *3*(6), 1539-1546.
- Shekari, H., Shirazi, S., Afshari, M., & Veyseh, S. (2011). Analyzing the key factors affecting the green supply chain management: A case study of steel industry. *Management Science Letters*, 1(4), 541-550.
- Wolff, J. A., & Pett, T. L. (2000). Internationalization of small firms: An examination of export. *Journal* of Small Business Management, 38(2 s 34).